

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/894,422		WRIGHT ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Thomas Duong		2445	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Duong.

(2) Marianne M. Downing, Reg. No. 42,870.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claims 33, 50 and 54

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On June 18, 2009, Examiner Duong spoke with the Applicants' attorney, Marianne M. Downing, Reg. No. 42,870, to confirm authorization for an examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of original claims 33, 50 and 54.